TO: Lawrence W. Bigus, Esq.
Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP
9200 Indian Creek Parkway, Suite 450
Overland Park, Kansas 66210
Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP Stephen A. Wood (SW 6270) Robert S. Friedman (RF 1538) Robert L. LeHane (RL 9422) Kevin J. Smith (KS 0441) 101 Park Avenue New York, New York 10178 Attorneys for Parus Holdings, Inc., Successor-by-Merger to EffectNet, Inc.

#### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re	CHAPTER 11 CASE
WORLDCOM, INC., et al.,	NO. 02-13533 (AJG)
Debtors.	(Jointly Administered)

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned attorney hereby certifies that he caused a copy of Claimant's

First Request for Documents, dated February 7, 2005, to be served BY OVERNIGHT

COURIER (UNITED PARCEL SERVICE) on February 7, 2005, on the following attorney:

Lawrence W. Bigus, Esq. Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP 9200 Indian Creek Parkway, Suite 450 Overland Park, Kansas 66210 Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Dated: February 8, 2005 New York, New York

Kevin J. Smith (KS 0441)

# Exhibit F

STINSON MORRISON HECKER LLP Lawrence W. Bigus, Esq. Donald C. Ramsay, Esq. 9200 Indian Creek Pkwy., Ste. 450 Overland Park, KS 66210 Attorney for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re

14

WORLDCOM, INC., et al.,

Debtors.

CHAPTER 11 CASE

NO. 02-13533 (AJG)

(Jointly Administered)

## DEBTORS' RESPONSES TO CLAIMANT'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

#### GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. Debtors object to all requests to the extent that they seek information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine, or which is otherwise immune from discovery. Inadvertent identification or production of any such information shall not constitute a waiver by the Debtors of any applicable privilege.
- 2. Debtors object to all requests to the extent that they seek to require the Debtors to produce documents containing private and confidential, proprietary, or otherwise sensitive information. Without waiving this objection, the Debtors will agree to produce such documents subject to a proper Protective Order to protect the confidentiality of the material produced.
- 3. Debtors object to all requests to the extent the requests ask for production from entities or persons against which no claim has been made in this litigation. Claimant has, for example, addressed the request to MCI WorldCom Network Services, Inc. which is not a party to

this claim. This response is on behalf of Intermedia Communications, Inc. and MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. None of the other parties to whom this request is directed are a party to this adversary proceeding and therefore this request does not reach any of the other parties to whom it is directed. This objection is based on jurisdictional grounds and on the terms and limitations of applicable federal rules of civil procedure.

Debtors object that the term "concerning" is as broad a term as could possibly be 4. used in a request for production. Almost anything and everything somehow could be deemed to be "concerning." For example, if the term "concerning" is taken literally then every piece of correspondence, every contract draft, every invoice, every sales record, every payment that was made, and many other documents could somehow "concern" Debtors' objection to payment of a claim. This puts the responding party in the position of producing every document in its possession to make sure that nothing is missed or making a judgment call as to what should be produced and what "concerns" the topic specified. What meets the term "concerning" will be different for one attorney than another attorney. Therefore, if counsel for responding party attempts to make such a determination the decision will be subject to second-guessing and puts the responding party in a precarious position. Rule 34 specifically provides that a party may request to see "designated documents". The reason for this provision in the Rule is so that the responding party knows what to produce. Asking for all documents "concerning" a topic is not asking for designated documents. The use of this broad term in every request indicates Claimant is not attempting to designate documents to produce but are simply engaging in a broad ranging hunt for documents generally. Therefore, Debtors object to any and all requests seeking production of "documents concerning" on the grounds that the request are overly broad, do not comply with the requirements of applicable rules, result in Debtors' not being able to determine

exactly what the propounding party is seeking to have produced, and create an unreasonable and oppressive burden. All responses are subject to this objection.

- 5. Debtors object to Claimant's request that documents be produced within thirty (30) days. Applicable rules require that Debtors respond within thirty days but do not require that the documents be produced within that same time. Subject to and without waiving that objection, Debtors have attached with this response non-privileged responsive documents specified below. In the event Claimant wishes to view the originals of such documents, Debtors will, where originals are available, make such originals available for inspection and copying at a mutually agreed upon time and place.
- 6. Each of the following responses incorporates these General Objections, whether or not specifically referenced in the responses. The responses below are provided without waiver of any of the objections stated herein.

#### **OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS**

1. Debtors object to the definition of "Claimant" in paragraph 2 of the definitions because it includes entities and persons that are, in fact, not making a claim, it is otherwise overly broad and it is, in part, vague and ambiguous. First, Debtors object that Webley Systems, Inc. does not now exist as an entity and no claim has been made for any damages to Webley Systems, Inc. before its merger with Claimant. Debtors further object that including all "officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, accountants, advisors, attorneys, and representatives" as Claimant makes the definition of "Claimant" overbroad. Debtors also object to including as Claimant "any other person acting or purporting to act on their behalf," apparently intending to include as "Claimant" all persons who act or purport to act on behalf of the listed corporations and all persons who act or purport to act on behalf of their officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, accountant, advisors, attorneys and representatives. Again the definition makes all

requests for production overbroad. Finally, the phrase "purporting to act on its behalf" is vague and ambiguous.

For purposes of its responses to Claimant's First Request for Production of Documents, Debtors will treat the term "Claimant" to mean EffectNet, Inc. (the Claimant in both Claim No. 9293 amended by Claim No. 11173 and in Claim No. 9291 amended by Claim No. 11242) and Parus Holdings, Inc. the successor by merger to EffectNet, Inc.

- 2. Debtors object to Claimant's definition of "Date," "Time," "Period," and "Amount" in paragraph number 7 because the term "approximate" is vague. This is particularly true where, as here, dates in Claimant's requests are months, not specific days. Claimant has, for example, limited two of its Requests to documents relating to a specific month. Request number 14 is for documents concerning an alleged notice in September 2001 and request number 15 is for documents concerning an alleged notice given in March 2002. In both cases, Debtors' responses will be limited to the specified months. Debtors will also otherwise limit their responses to the date, time, period, and amount specified in Claimant's requests.
- 3. Debtors object to the Claimant's definition of "Draft" on the ground that it requests documents protected by the attorney client and/or work product privileges. Debtors specifically object that drafts of contracts or other documents prepared by an attorney and not generally circulated or disclosed to third parties are privileged documents.
- 4. Debtors object to the Claimant's definition of "Debtor" in paragraph 9 of its definitions because it includes entities against which Claimant has made no claim. To the extent Claimant intends by its definition of Debtor in paragraph 9 of its Definitions to request production of documents from not only Intermedia Communications, Inc. and MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. but also each of its affiliate or subsidiary companies, Debtors object that

the definition makes each and every request for production overbroad and unduly burdensome. Debtors have over 200 direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries and 200 foreign subsidiaries. Claimant has filed claims against only Intermedia Communications, Inc. (Claim No. 9293 amended by Claim No. 11173) and against MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. (Claim No. 9291 amended by Claim No. 11242). The court does not have jurisdiction over entities Claimant proposes to include in the definition of "Debtors." This request for production is not a proper means of seeking or obtaining discovery from third parties. Debtors' responses will, therefore be limited to documents in the custody or control of Intermedia Communications, Inc. and MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.

Debtors also object to Claimant's definition of Debtor to the extent Claimant intended by its definition of Debtors in paragraph 9 to request documents from all persons acting or purporting to act on Debtors' behalf (which would include all persons acting or purporting to act on behalf of Debtors' affiliate or subsidiary companies). By this definition Claimant requests documents from entities or persons over which Debtors have no control. Debtors again object that the definition of "Claimant" makes all requests overbroad and unduly burdensome. In addition, Debtors object that the definition calls for documents protected by the attorney client and work product privileges. Finally the phrase "or purporting to act on behalf of" is vague and ambiguous.

Debtors will respond to Claimant's requests with documents in the custody or control of Intermedia Communications, Inc. and MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. including documents in the custody and control of employees of those two corporations over which Debtors have control.

- 5. Debtors object to the definitions in paragraphs 11, 12, and 13 and 17 on the ground that by virtue of the definitions certain of the requests are for documents protected by the attorney client and/or work product privileges. Debtors specifically object that drafts of contracts or other documents prepared by an attorney and not generally circulated or disclosed to third parties are privileged documents.
- 6. Debtors object to the definition of "Jim Renforth" in paragraph 15 on the grounds that his title was Senior Services Manager Local Services.
- 7. Debtors object to the definition of "Unified Communications" in definition number 19 on the grounds that the definition is overbroad and is vague and ambiguous. Debtors do not know what Claimant intends by this definition but the definition begins by defining Unified Communications as "all forms of call . . . controlled by an individual user for both business and social purposes." Whatever is intended, this definition is too broad. Debtors also object that the phrases "multimedia/cross-media message-management functions," "enterprise informational or transactional," "emulates a human user," "content-independent," and "contact access" are all vague and ambiguous.
- 8. Each of Debtors' responses to Claimant's requests incorporates these objections whether or not specifically mentioned in the specific response.

### **OBJECTIONS TO INSTRUCTIONS**

As to Claimant's Instructions, Debtors' will, where response is appropriate, respond in a matter consistent with and as required by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules. To the extent Claimant purports to impose by its Instructions any additional or other obligation, Debtors object that it is not required to comply with Claimant's Instructions.

## CONTINUING EFFORT TO LOCATE DOCUMENTS

Intermedia is no longer actively engaged in business. Most of the Intermedia documents have been boxed up and sent to storage ("Stored Documents"). Debtors have aggressively undertaken an investigation to locate the Intermedia documents including the Stored Documents and those documents that were still in the possession of current employees. The Intermedia documents are referred to herein as "Intermedia Documents".

Debtors have located and reviewed some of the Intermedia Documents ("Located and Reviewed Documents") and are still working to locate the remaining Intermedia Documents. It appears that the Stored Documents are contained in several different storage locations and are in thousands of boxes ("Stored Documents"). Some of the storage locations are known and Debtors are still conducting due diligence to determine the location of the remaining storage locations.

None of the documents at storage locations are included in Located and Reviewed Documents.

In response to this Request for Production Debtors have indicated that certain documents will be produced ("Responsive Documents"). To the extent that Located and Reviewed Documents are also Responsive Documents they are attached hereto.

The Stored Documents located to date are poorly indexed. As it relates to the Claims at issue the Stored Documents contained in these thousands of boxes can be described as follows:

1) Documents which have no relevancy to the Claims at issue, which Claimant has not requested and which Debtors have no desire to review in connection with the Claims at issue ("Irrelevant Documents"); 2) Documents which Debtors would like to review in connection with the Claims ("Potential Documents"); and 3) Documents which Debtors have indicated in their Reply to the Request for Production that Debtors are willing to produce ("Requested Stored Documents").

Documents which are contained in the description of Potential Documents and in the description of Requested Stored Documents may overlap.

Debtors intend to continue to take steps to locate the remaining storage locations and seek indexes for all Stored Documents. As soon as this task is completed Debtors will determine whether they can identify the Responsive Documents and produce them or whether Debtors will comply with its obligations pursuant to this Request for Production by allowing Claimant to review some or all of the Stored Documents pursuant to Rule 34 Fed. R. Civ. P. (or do a combination of these two approaches).

Debtors reserve the right to object to the production of Stored Documents which are responsive to this Request for Production to the extent they contain Attorney Work Product, are protected by the Attorney Client Privilege, or there are other grounds to object to the production of such documents, once said documents have been reviewed.

## RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS

REQUEST NO. 1: All documents concerning Debtors' objections to payment with respect to Claim No. 9291.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 1, Debtors incorporate all General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully restated.

REQUEST NO. 2: All documents concerning Debtors' objections to payment with respect to Claim No. 9293.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 2, Debtors incorporate all General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully restated.

REOUEST NO. 3: All documents concerning Debtors' objections to payment with respect to Claim No. 11173.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 3, Debtors incorporate all General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully restated.

REQUEST NO. 4: All documents concerning Debtors' objections to payment with respect to Claim No. 11242.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 4, Debtors incorporate all General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully restated.

REQUEST NO. 5: All documents concerning Debtors' objection to Claimant's Claim Nos. 9291, 9293, 11173 and 11242 on the ground that Claimant is not entitled to double recovery, as asserted in paragraph 14 of Debtors' Objection.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 5, Debtors incorporate all General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated. Debtors further object to request number 5 on the grounds that Claimant is asking Debtors to produce documents to support a point of law, namely that Claimant is not legally entitled to double recovery.

REOUEST NO. 6: All documents concerning Debtors' alleged payment to Claimant in connection with Claim Nos. 9291, 9293, 11173 and 11242, as asserted in paragraph 19 of Debtors' Objection.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 6, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Debtors will respond to request number six as though it requested checks, drafts or evidence of wire transfers constituting payment to Claimant under the Unified Communications Services General Agreement between EffectNet, Inc. and Intermedia Communications, Inc. In response to the request so modified, Debtors will produce copies of checks sent to EffectNet, Inc. as payment under the Unified Communications Services General Agreement between EffectNet, Inc. and Intermedia Communications, Inc. Debtors have not yet located these documents. See above under heading CONTINUING EFFORT TO LOCATE DOCUMENTS.

REOUEST NO.7: All documents concerning Debtors' contention that "Claimant terminated the contract upon which it based its claims" as asserted in paragraph 15 of Debtors' Objection.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 7, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and without waiving those objections, Debtors will respond to request number 7 as though it requested documents that constitute Claimant's termination of the contract. In response to the request so stated, Debtors will produce and have attached hereto copies of letters from Claimant to Debtor terminating the contract.

REOUEST NO. 8: All documents concerning Debtors' contention that Claimant seeks an "unenforceable penalty" in connection with its claims for minimum monthly commitment payments, as asserted in paragraph 16 of Debtors' Objection.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 8, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object that request number 8 requests documents that support a principal of law rather than fact. In further response to this request, Debtors state that Claimant knows the claim it is making and has a copy of the applicable contract.

REQUEST NO. 9: All documents concerning Debtors' contention that "Claimant has calculated its alleged damages using an amount per commitment which is significantly higher than the amount the contract specifies should be used", as asserted in paragraph 17 of the Debtors' Objection.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 9, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further respond that Claimant has a copy of the applicable contract and knows the amount it is claiming.

REOUEST NO. 10: All documents concerning Debtors' contention that "the contract prohibits assignment", as asserted in paragraph 18 of the Debtors' Objection.

Page 15 of 30

REOUEST NO. 11: All documents concerning the General Agreement, including documents concerning the negotiation, drafting, and execution of the General Agreement and performance of Claimant and Debtors thereunder.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 11, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object that the request for documents concerning the "execution" of the agreement is vague and overbroad. Debtors do not know what is intended by the phrase "concerning the execution" of the agreement.

Debtors further object that this request for all documents concerning the "performance" of Claimant and Debtors thereunder" is vague as it must be assumed to relate to something other than payment required under the contract (because that aspect of performance is covered by other requests) but Claimant has not specified what aspect of performance Claimant considers to be at issue. Debtors have, in response to other requests, agreed to produce, if and when located, copies of checks constituting payments under the General Agreement.

REOUEST NO. 12: All documents concerning the Memorandum of Understanding, including documents concerning the negotiation, drafting, and execution of the Memorandum of Understanding and performance of Claimant and Debtors thereunder.

In response to request number 12, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' RESPONSE: General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object that the request for documents concerning the "execution" of the agreement is vague and overbroad. Debtors do not know what is intended by the phrase "concerning the execution" of the agreement.

Debtors further object that the request for documents relating to "performance" is overbroad as it does not specify what aspect, if any, of the performance of the MOU is at issue.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Debtors will produce and have attached hereto a copy of the Memorandum of Understanding.

REQUEST NO. 13: All documents concerning the Interim Agreement including documents concerning the negotiation, drafting, and execution of the Interim Agreement and performance of Claimant and Debtors thereunder.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 13, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object that the request for documents concerning the "execution" of the agreement is vague and ambiguous. Debtors do not know what is intended by the phrase "concerning the execution" of the agreement,

Debtors further object that the request for documents relating to "performance" is overbroad as it does not specify what aspect, if any, of the performance of the Interim Agreement is at issue.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Debtors will produce and have attached hereto a copy of the Interim Agreement.

REOUEST NO. 14: All documents concerning Debtors' decision to give notice in September 2001 to cancel accounts created pursuant to the General Agreement.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 14, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Debtors will respond to request number 14 as though it requests documents constituting notice given in September 2001 to cancel accounts activated pursuant to the General Agreement. At this time Debtors have not located documents that would be responsive to this request as so restated. See above under heading CONTINUING EFFORT TO LOCATE DOCUMENTS.

REQUEST NO. 15: All documents concerning Debtors' decision to give notice in March 2002 to cancel accounts created pursuant to the General Agreement.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 15, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Debtors will respond to request number 15 as though it requests documents constituting notice given in March 2002 to cancel accounts created pursuant to the General Agreement. In response to the request so restated, Debtors are producing herewith copies of Responsive Documents that it has Located and Reviewed. There

are no such documents which are not privileged. See also above under heading CONTINUING EFFORT TO LOCATE DOCUMENTS.

REQUEST NO. 16: All documents concerning communications between Debtors and Claimant regarding the General Agreement.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 16, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and wishout waiving its objections, Debtors will respond to request number 16 as though it requested documents constituting communications between Debtors (defined as Intermedia Communications, Inc. and MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.) and Claimant (defined as Effect Net, Inc. and Parus Holdings, Inc.) concerning the General Agreement. In response to the request so restated, Debtors agree to produce Responsive Documents. Documents that have been Located and Reviewed are attached. See also above under heading CONTINUING EFFORT TO LOCATE DOCUMENTS.

REQUEST NO. 17: All documents concerning Debtors' payments to Claimant under the General Agreement.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 17, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Debtors will respond to request number 17 as though it requested checks, drafts or evidence of wire transfers constituting

payment to Claimant under the Unified Communications Services General Agreement between EffectNet, Inc. and Intermedia Communications, Inc. In response to the request so modified, Debtors will produce copies of checks sent to EffectNet, Inc. as payment under Unified Communications Services General Agreement between EffectNet, Inc. and Intermedia Communications, Inc. Debtors have not yet located these documents. See above under heading CONTINUING EFFORT TO LOCATE DOCUMENTS.

REQUEST NO. 18: All documents concerning Debtors' communications among each other regarding the General Agreement.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 18, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Debtors will respond to request number 18 as though it were a request for non-privileged documents constituting communication among Intermedia Communications, Inc., MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. and any of their affiliates regarding the General Agreement. Provided, however, to the extent this request is intended to request that Debtors search the records in the possession of their affiliates, Debtors object that the request is burdensome and further object that it is asking for records not in Debtors' custody or control. In response to request number 18 as so restated, Debtors will produce documents in the possession of Intermedia Communications, Inc. or MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc., if any, constituting non-privileged communications among Intermedia Communications, Inc., MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc., or any of their affiliates, regarding the General Agreement. Located and Reviewed documents that are responsive to the

request as so restated are forwarded to Claimant with this response. See above under heading CONTINUING EFFORT TO LOCATE DOCUMENTS.

REQUEST NO. 19: All documents concerning Debtors' communications among each other regarding the cancellation of accounts created pursuant to the General Agreement.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 19, Debtors incorporate all of Debtor's General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and without waiving it objections, Debtors will respond to request number 19 as though it were a request for non-privileged documents constituting communication among Intermedia Communications, Inc., MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. and any of their affiliates regarding the General Agreement. Provided, however, to the extent this request is intended to request that Debtors search the records in the possession of their affiliates, Debtors object that the request is burdensome and further object that it is asking for records not in Debtors' custody or control. In response to request number 19 as so restated, Debtors will produce documents in the possession of Intermedia Communications, Inc., if any, constituting non-privileged communications among Intermedia Communications, Inc., MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc., or any of their affiliates, regarding the General Agreement.

This request is a sub-part of what is requested in request 18 and any documents responsive to this request would also be responsive to request 18. There are no documents responsive to this request as so restated. See above under heading CONTINUING EFFORT TO LOCATE DOCUMENTS.

REQUEST NO. 20: All documents concerning Claimant, including Claimant's finances, Claimant's business, Claimant's technology, or Claimant's products.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 20, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object that the request for all documents concerning Claimant's business, Claimant's technology and Claimant's products is overbroad as not all of Claimant's business, technology or products are at issue in this litigation.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Debtors will respond to request number 20 as though it requested all non-privileged documents, if any, that discuss or mention Claimant's finances and all documents, if any, that discuss or mention the product or technology that Claimant provided under the General Agreement. Located and Reviewed Documents that are responsive to this request as so restated are attached with this response. See above under heading CONTINUING EFFORT TO LOCATE DOCUMENTS.

REOUEST NO. 21: All documents concerning Debtors' evaluation or analysis of Claimant, including Claimant's finances, Claimant's business, Claimant's technology, or Claimant's products.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 21, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object that the request for all documents concerning Claimant's business, Claimant's technology and Claimant's products is overbroad as not all of Claimant's business, technology or products are at issue in this litigation.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Debtors will respond to request number 21 as though it requested non-privileged documents that constitute evaluation or analysis of Claimant's finances and/or that constitute evaluation or analysis of the products or technology that Claimant provided Intermedia Communications, Inc. under the General Agreement. Documents responsive to this request as so restated are attached hereto. See above under heading CONTINUING EFFORT TO LOCATE RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS.

REQUEST NO. 22: All documents concerning the Master License Agreement, including documents concerning the negotiation, drafting, and execution of the Master License Agreement and performance of Claimant and Debtors therounder.

In response to request number 22 Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' RESPONSE: General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object to request number 22 on the grounds that it requests documents protected by the attorney client privilege. Specifically, Debtors state that drafts of the Master License Agreement prepared by Debtors' attorney and communication to and from Debtors' attorney not generally circulated or distributed to third parties are protected by the attorney client privilege.

Debtors further object that the request for documents concerning "execution" of the Master Licensing Agreement is vague and ambiguous. Debtors do not know what is meant by the request for documents "concerning" the "execution" of the Master Licensing Agreement.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Debtors will produce and have attached to these responses a copy of the Master Licensing Agreement.

REOUEST NO. 23: All documents concerning communications between Debtors and Claimant regarding the Master License Agreement.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 23, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Debtors will respond to request number 23 as though it requested non-privileged documents constituting communications between Debtors (defined as Intermedia Communications, Inc. and MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.) and Claimant (defined as Effect Net, Inc. and Parus Holdings, Inc.) regarding the Master Service Licensing Agreement. Located and Reviewed non-privileged documents that are responsive to this request as so restated are produced with this response. Debtors are also continuing to search for documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST NO. 24: All documents concerning communications between Debtors and Claimant from September 1, 2000 to the present regarding the products and services offered by Claimant to Debtors under the Master License Agreement.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 24, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Debtors will respond to request number 24 as though it requested non-privileged documents constituting communications between Debtors (defined as Intermedia Communications, Inc. and MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.) and Claimant (defined as Effect Net, Inc. and Parus Holdings, Inc.) regarding the Master Service Licensing Agreement. Located and Reviewed non-privileged documents that are responsive to this request as so restated are produced in response to request 23. Debtors are also continuing to search for documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST NO. 25: All documents concerning the price(s) to be paid for licenses to be obtained from Claimant under the Master License Agreement.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 25, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Debtors will respond to request number 25 as though it requested non-privileged documents setting forth the prices to be paid or which discuss the prices to be paid Claimant under the Master License Agreement. Claimant already has the Master License Agreement. Located and Reviewed non-privileged documents that are responsive to this request as so restated are produced in response to request 23. Debtors are also continuing to search for documents responsive to this request.

REOUEST NO. 26: All documents concerning Debtors' payments to Claimant pursuant to the Master License Agreement.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 26, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Debtors will respond to this request as though it requested checks, drafts, and/or evidence of wire transfers constituting payment to Claimant pursuant to the Master Licensing Agreement. In response to the request so restated, Debtors will produce copies of checks constituting payment to Claimant pursuant to the Master Licensing Agreement. Debtors have not yet located but are continuing to search for documents that are responsive to this request as so restated.

REQUEST NO. 27: All documents concerning Debtors' communications among each other regarding the Master License Agreement.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 27, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Subject to and without waiving these objections Debtors will respond to this request as though it requested documents constituting communication among Intermedia Communications, Inc., MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. and their affiliates regarding the Master License Agreement. Provided, however, to the extent this request is intended to request that Debtors search the records in the possession of their affiliates, Debtors object that the request is burdensome and further object that it is asking for records not in Debtors' custody or control. In

response to request number 27 as so restated, Debtors will produce documents in the possession of Intermedia Communications, Inc. or MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc., if any, constituting non-privileged communications among Intermedia Communications, Inc., MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc, or any of their affiliates, regarding the Master Licensing Agreement. Non privileged Located and Reviewed Documents that are responsive to the request as so restated are attached hereto. See also above under heading CONTINUING EFFORT TO LOCATE DOCUMENTS.

REQUEST NO. 28: All documents concerning Jim Renforth's ceasing employment with Debtors in 2001.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 28, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object to request number 28 on the grounds that it calls for the production of documents that are neither relevant nor likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

REQUEST NO. 29: All documents concerning Jimmy Faust's ceasing employment with Debtors.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 29, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object to request number 29 on the grounds that it calls for the production of documents that are neither relevant nor likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

REQUEST NO. 30: All documents concerning Intermedia Communications, Inc.'s product suite "IntermediaOne".

**RESPONSE:** In response to request number 30, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome and seeks documents which are not relevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery or relevant or admissible evidence.

REOUEST NO. 31: All documents concerning the launch of Intermedia Communications, Inc.'s "Intermedia One".

RESPONSE: In response to request number 31, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome and seeks documents which are not relevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery or relevant or admissible evidence.

REQUEST NO. 32: All documents concerning Debtors' communications among each other regarding Intermedia Communications, Inc.'s "IntermediaOne".

RESPONSE: In response to request number 32, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome and seeks documents which are not relevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery or relevant or admissible evidence.

REQUEST NO. 33: All documents concerning the merger of Intermedia Communications, Inc. with WorldCom, Inc. that relate to Claimant, Claimant's finances, Claimant's business, Claimant's technology, Claimant's products, Intermedia Communications, Inc.'s "IntermediaOne", or Unified Communications.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 33, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated. Debtors further object to request number 33 on the grounds that it is otherwise overly broad, is burdensome and calls for the production of documents that are neither relevant nor likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

REQUEST NO. 34: All documents concerning the merger of Intermedia Communications, Inc. with WorldCom, Inc. that relate to the development, obtaining, marketing or launching of a Unified Communications product or service.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 34, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object that request number 34 is overbroad because it is not limited as to time.

Filed 01/04/2008

Debtors further object that request number 34 is otherwise overbroad, is burdensome and calls for the production of documents not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

REQUEST NO. 35: All documents concerning Debtors' efforts to develop, obtain, market, or launch Unified Communications product or service for their use or for sale to customers.

RESPONSE: In response to request number 35, Debtors incorporate all of Debtors' General Objections, Objections to Definitions, and Objections to Instructions as if fully here restated.

Debtors further object that this request is overbroad in that in that it is not limited as to time.

Debtors further object that this request is otherwise overbroad, is burdensome and calls for the production of documents not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

> Lawrence W. Bigus, Esq. Donald C. Ramsay, Esq.

Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP

9200 Indian Creek Parkway, Suite 450

Overland Park, Kansas 66210 Telephone: (913) 451-8600 Facsimile: (913) 451-6352

Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2005, Debtors' Responses to Claimant's First Request for Production of Documents (Claim Nos. 9291, 9293, 11173 and 11242 filed by Parus Holdings, Inc., successor-by-merger to EffectNet, Inc. and EffectNet, LLC) pursuant to Fed. R. P. 7026(a)(1) were placed in the U.S. mail, postage prepaid, addressed to:

Stephen A. Wood
Robert S. Friedman
Robert L. LeHane
Kevin J. Smith
Kelley Drye & Warren LLP
101 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10178
Attorneys for Parus Holdings, Inc.,
Successor-by-Merger to EffectNet, Inc.

Lawrence W. Bigus, Esq.